arXiv:1701.07620v1 [math.NA] 26 Jan 2017

A fully discretised filtered polynomial approximations on spherical shells

Yoshihito Kazashi

27th January 2017

Abstract

A fully implementable filtered polynomial approximation on spherical shells is considered. The method proposed is a quadrature-based version of a filtered polynomial approximation. The radial direction and the angular direction of the shells are treated separately with constructive filtered polynomial approximation. The approximation error with respect to the supremum norm is shown to decay algebraically for functions in suitable differentiability classes. Numerical experiments support the results.

Keywords: Filtered polynomial approximation, Filtered hyperinterpolation, Spherical shells

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with constructive global polynomial approximation on spherical shells. Problems on such domains naturally arise in a wide range of geosciences, and numerous computational methods are proposed [7, 8, 13, 20, 24]. Nonetheless, theoretical analysis does not seem to have attracted much attention. One recent result considered in [12] is a fully discretised polynomial approximation on spherical shells. The method considered there can be seen as an approximation of the L^2-orthogonal projection. However, L^2-projection is not the best choice when one wants a small point-wise error—recall how the Fourier series of f on the torus may fail to converge on any measure zero set if f is merely continuous [11]. This paper considers a method with good uniform convergence using *filtering*. Our ultimate goal is to construct a fully discretised filtered polynomial approximation method and analyse the errors.

A classical remedy for the failure of the Fourier series on the torus mentioned above is to use a smoothing (or *filtering*) process such as Cesáro sums, Lanczos smoothing, or the raised cosine smoothing [2]. An underlying idea is to smoothly truncate the series by multiplying the Fourier coefficients of higher order by a suitably small factor. Filtered approximations have also been considered for other regions, including the sphere. (See [18, 21] and references therein.)

In most realistic applications the Fourier coefficients are not known, as integrals are not computable exactly. As an alternative, quadrature-based approximations of these filtered methods have been considered for various settings, particularly on the sphere [15, 22]. The present paper considers a quadrature-based filtered polynomial approximation for spherical shells $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r_{\text{in}} \leq \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \leq r_{\text{out}} = r_{\text{in}} + \varepsilon\}$, where $r_{\text{in}} \leq 1 \leq r_{\text{out}}$ and $r_{\text{out}} - r_{\text{in}} = \varepsilon > 0$

as a domain. That is, given a function f, we smoothly truncate its Fourier series by a suitable 'filter' h, and approximate Fourier coefficients by quadrature rules. The motivation is to propose an implementable technique with a good point-wise convergence. Our results give, to the best of our knowledge, the first theoretical results on constructive filtered polynomial approximation on spherical shells. Our method requires only point values of the function we approximate, and thus can be implemented exactly in a real number model of computation.

We regard $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ as a product of the interval $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$ in the radial direction and the unit sphere S^2 in the angular direction. The product setting is natural, since in practice functions on a spherical shell vary on different scales in the radial and angular directions. For example, the mantle can be seen as a set of spherical layers with different characteristics [1, 10, 5]. Some properties of the atmosphere, such as the ionization rate [9, p. 151], electric field [9, p. 155], depend strongly on the altitude, and hence vary rapidly in the radial direction.

For a continuous function $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ we consider the approximation taking the following form. Let $J_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ $(\alpha, \beta > -1)$ be the Jacobi polynomial of degree k mapped affinely to $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$ from $[-1, 1]$, and $Y_{\ell m}$ be the spherical harmonics of degree ℓ and order m. More detailed definitions are given later. Let $h\colon [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a function with a compact support that is non-increasing in each variable. Then, the method we propose takes the form

$$V_{KL} f := \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} h\left(\frac{k}{K}, \frac{\ell}{N}\right) c_{k\ell m} J_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} Y_{\ell m}. \tag{1.1}$$

Note that this is actually a finite sum. Here, the coefficients $\{c_{k\ell m}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are quadrature approximations of Fourier coefficients,

$$c_{k\ell m} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma_k^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} f J_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} Y_{\ell m}.$$

The quadrature approximation, the measure used in the integral, and the normalising constant γ_k are defined later.

Following [22], we shall call $V_{KL} f$ filtered hyperinterpolation of f on $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$, if the quadrature is of suitably high polynomial precision.

Our main result Corollary 5.4 gives error convergence orders of the method we propose in terms of the supremum norm. The error is analysed by treating the radial and angular direction separately. We introduce the filtered hyperinterpolation operator R_K in the radial direction and A_L in the angular direction. The error $f - V_{KL} f$ in terms of the supremum norm turns out to be bounded by the sum of the error bounds for each direction.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces notations we need. In Section 3 and 4, we introduce the filtered hyperinterpolation approximations in the radial direction and the angular direction. Section 5 develops the filtered hyperinterpolation on spherical shells and analyses the error. We give numerical results in Section 6, and Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Preliminaries

We set up some notations and introduce the problem we consider.

With $r_{\mathrm{in}} \in (0, 1]$ and $r_{\mathrm{out}} \in [1, \infty)$ $(r_{\mathrm{in}} \neq r_{\mathrm{out}})$, we consider a spherical shell $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r_{\mathrm{in}} \leq \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \leq r_{\mathrm{out}}\}$. We assume $r_{\mathrm{out}} - r_{\mathrm{in}} = \varepsilon > 0$. We use the spherical coordinate system

$$\boldsymbol{x} = r\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (r\sin\theta\cos\varphi, r\sin\theta\sin\varphi, r\cos\theta) \quad (r \in [0,\infty),\ \theta \in [0,\pi],\ \varphi \in [0, 2\pi)),$$

where $r = \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{r}$, and for $\theta \in \{0, \pi\}$ we let $\varphi = 0$.

For $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S^2$, we often write a function $f(\theta, \varphi)$ on the unit sphere S^2 as $f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$.

In the following, we introduce orthogonal polynomials on the interval and the sphere. Further, we introduce the approximation method we consider.

2.1 Orthogonal polynomials

Let $J_k^* = J_k^{*(\alpha,\beta)}$ be the Jacobi polynomial of degree k with the parameters $\alpha, \beta > -1$ on $[-1, 1]$. Define J_k $(k = 0, \ldots, K)$ by

$$J_k(r) = J_k^* \left(\frac{2r - (r_{\mathrm{in}} + r_{\mathrm{out}})}{r_{\mathrm{out}} - r_{\mathrm{in}}} \right), \qquad r \in [r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$$

Let $w^*(x) := (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta$ $(x \in (-1, 1))$ be the weight function associated with $\{J_k^*\} = \{J_k^{*(\alpha,\beta)}\}$, that is, with $\gamma_k = \left(\int_{-1}^1 (J_k^*(x))^2 w^*(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 J_j^*(x) J_k^*(x) w^*(x) \mathrm{d}x = \delta_{jk} \gamma_k^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta_{jk} = 1$ if $j = k$ and $\delta_{jk} = 0$ otherwise. For example, the weight associated with Legendre polynomials $(\alpha = \beta = 0)$ is $w^*_{\mathrm{Legendre}}(x) = 1$ $(x \in (-1, 1))$, and for Chebyshev polynomials $(\alpha = \beta = -\frac{1}{2})$ we have $w^*_{\mathrm{Chebyshev}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$ $(x \in (-1, 1))$. We always consider a fixed pair of parameters (α, β), and thus we omit them in the notation J_k^*, and J_k.

Define the measure μ^{rad} on $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$ by

$$\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(A) = \int_A w^* \left(\frac{2r - (r_{\mathrm{out}} + r_{\mathrm{in}})}{r_{\mathrm{out}} - r_{\mathrm{in}}} \right) \frac{2}{r_{\mathrm{out}} - r_{\mathrm{in}}} \mathrm{d}r,$$

for any Lebesgue measurable set A in $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$. Then, we have

$$\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} J_j(r) J_k(r) \, \mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(r) = \int_{-1}^1 J_j^*(x) J_k^*(x) w^*(x) \mathrm{d}x = \delta_{jk} \gamma_k^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $Y_{\ell,m}(\theta, \varphi)$ be the real spherical harmonics on the unit sphere S^2 defined by

$$\begin{cases} Y_{\ell,0}(\theta, \varphi) & = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell,0}(\cos\theta) \\ Y_{\ell,m}(\theta, \varphi) & = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell,m}(\cos\theta) \cos m\varphi \quad (m = 1, ..., \ell) \\ Y_{\ell,-m}(\theta, \varphi) & = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell,m}(\cos\theta) \sin m\varphi \quad (m = 1, ..., \ell), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\ell,m}$ are defined as follows. Consider the associated Legendre polynomials

$$\begin{cases} P_\ell^0(x) = P_\ell(x) & (m = 0) \\ P_\ell^m(x) = (1-x^2)^{m/2} \, \dfrac{\mathrm{d}^m P_\ell}{\mathrm{d}x^m}(x) & (m = 1, ..., \ell) \\ P_\ell^{-m}(x) = (-1)^m \dfrac{(\ell - m)!}{(\ell + m)!} P_\ell^m(x) & (m = 1, ..., \ell), \end{cases}$$

where $P_\ell(x)$ ($x \in [-1,1]$) is the Legendre polynomial of degree $\ell \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\mathcal{P}_{\ell,m}(x)\}_{\substack{\ell=0,1,2,\dots \\ m=-\ell,\dots,\ell}}$ are defined by

$$\left\{ \mathcal{P}_{\ell,m}(x) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{2}\frac{(\ell-m)!}{(\ell+m)!}} P_\ell^m(x) \right\}_{|m|\le\ell, \ell=0,1,2,\cdots}.$$

We often write the integral $\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi} f(\theta,\varphi)\sin\theta \mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\varphi$ as $\int_{S^2} f(\theta,\varphi)\,\mathrm{d}S$ or $\int_{S^2} f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\,\mathrm{d}S$. The above normalisation gives us

$$\int_{S^2} Y_{\ell,m}(\theta,\varphi) Y_{\mu,\nu}(\theta,\varphi)\,\mathrm{d}S = \delta_{l\mu}\delta_{m\nu}. \tag{2.3}$$

Finally, we let $\mathbb{P}_k([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$, and $\mathbb{P}_\ell(S^2)$ be the space of polynomials of degree $\le k$ on $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$, and respectively the space of spherical polynomial of degree $\le \ell$ on S^2. For details of orthogonal polynomials, see, for example, [23, 25]. Consider functions $h^{\mathrm{ang}}, h^{\mathrm{rad}}\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $\mathrm{supp}\,(h^{\mathrm{ang}}) \subset [0,a]$, and $\mathrm{supp}\,(h^{\mathrm{rad}}) \subset [0,b]$ ($a,b \in (1,2]$). Further, we assume $h^{\mathrm{ang}}(s) = h^{\mathrm{rad}}(t) = 1$ for $s,t \in [0,1]$. Let us define the *filter* function $h\colon [0,\infty)\times[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$h\colon (s,t) \mapsto h^{\mathrm{rad}}(s) h^{\mathrm{ang}}(t). \tag{2.4}$$

We consider an approximation of a real-valued function f on the shell $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}] \times S^2$ of the form

$$V_{KL} f := \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} h\left(\frac{k}{K}, \frac{\ell}{L}\right) c_{k\ell m}\, J_k(r) Y_{\ell,m}(\theta,\varphi), \tag{2.5}$$

where coefficients $\{c_{k\ell m}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ shall be defined in Section 5, (5.1). They are approximations of Fourier coefficients, that is,

$$c_{k\ell m} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma_k^2} \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} f(r,\theta,\varphi) J_k(r) Y_{\ell,m}(\theta,\varphi)\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(r)\sin\theta \mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\varphi. \tag{2.6}$$

3 Filtered hyperinterpolation on the radial interval

In this section we define filtered hyperinterpolation in the radial direction, and we will see that it is bounded as an operator from $C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ to $C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$.

In order to develop properties of the filtered hyperinterpolation, as an intermediate step we define the *continuous* filtered approximation in the radial direction.

Let $\langle f, g\rangle_{L^2_\mu([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])} := \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} fg\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}$, and $\overline{K}(a) = \max\{\lceil aK\rceil - 1, K\}$ with $a \in (1,2]$. For $f \in C([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$, we define the filtered approximation $\mathcal{R}_K f$ ($K \ge 1$) by

$$\mathcal{R}_K f(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) \left\langle f, \frac{J_k}{\gamma_k}\right\rangle_{L^2_\mu([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])} \frac{J_k(r)}{\gamma_k} \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\overline{K}(a)} h^{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) \left\langle f, \frac{J_k}{\gamma_k}\right\rangle_{L^2_\mu([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])} \frac{J_k(r)}{\gamma_k} \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \langle f, \mathcal{G}_K(\cdot, r)\rangle_{L^2_\mu([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_K(s,r) := \sum_{k=0}^{\overline{K}(a)} h^{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right)\frac{J_k(s)}{\gamma_k}\frac{J_k(r)}{\gamma_k} \quad \text{for } (s,r)\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]\times[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that this is a finite sum.

The following proposition is standard.

Proposition 3.1. *For $\mathcal{R}_K$ defined by* (3.1) *we have*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_K\|_{C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])\to C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])} = \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]}\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}}|\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}_K\|_{C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])\to C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])} \le \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]}\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}}|\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s),$$

since $|\mathcal{R}_K f(r)| \le \left(\sup_{t\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]}|f(t)|\right)\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}}|\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s)$ for each $r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]$. Conversely, let

$$\mathrm{sgn}_r(s) := \begin{cases}\frac{\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)}{|\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|} & \mathcal{G}_K(s,r)\neq 0,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_K(\cdot,r)$ is measurable, so is $\mathrm{sgn}_r(\cdot)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{sgn}_r(\cdot)\in L^1_\mu := L^1_{\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}}([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])$. Thus, there exist a sequence $\{g_n\}_n\subset C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])$ such that $g_n\to\mathrm{sgn}_r$ $(n\to\infty)$ in L^1_μ. Therefore, there exist a subsequence $\{g_{n,k}\}_k\subset\{g_n\}$ such that

$$g_{n,k}(s)\to\mathrm{sgn}_r(s)\qquad(k\to\infty)\quad\text{for } \mu^{\mathrm{rad}}\text{-almost every } s.$$

Replacing, if necessary, each function $g_{n,k}$ with $\min\{\max\{-1,g_{n,k}\},1\}$, we can assume $|g_{n,k}|\le 1$ independently of k, so that

$$|g_{n,k}(\cdot)\mathcal{G}_K(\cdot,r)|\le\max_{s,t\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]}|\mathcal{G}_K(s,t)|.$$

Thus, from the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}}|\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s) &= \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}}\mathrm{sgn}_r(s)\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s)\\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}}g_{n,k}(s)\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s)\\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\mathcal{R}_K g_{n,k}(r)\le\|\mathcal{R}_K\|_{C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])\to C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The following result essentially due to Mhaskar [14] establishes the uniform boundedness of $\{\mathcal{R}_K\}_K$.

Theorem 3.2 ([14]). *Let the parameters (α, β) for the Jacobi polynomial satisfy $\alpha, \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, and let $\iota \geq \alpha + \beta + 1$ be an integer. Suppose that the filter function $h^{\mathrm{rad}}\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies $h^{\mathrm{rad}}(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0,1]$, $\mathrm{supp}(h^{\mathrm{rad}}) \subset [0,a]$ for some $a \in (1,2]$. Further, suppose that h^{rad} and its derivatives of all orders up to $\iota - 1$ are absolutely continuous, and the ι-th derivative is of bounded variation. Then, $\mathcal{R}_K\colon C([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]) \to C([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$ defined by (3.1) satisfies*

$$\sup_{K \geq 1} \|\mathcal{R}_K\|_{C([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]) \to C([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])} < \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Following [14, Proof of Theorem 3.1], we see that the current assumption on the filter h^{rad} implies [14, Theorem 3.1], and thus from [14, (2.10)] we have

$$\sup_{r \in [r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} |\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)| \, \mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s) < \infty.$$

Then, the statement follows from Proposition 3.1.

□

The filtered hyperinterpolation defined in the next section is obtained by approximating $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2_\mu([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])}$ by the Gauss-type quadrature.

3.1 Filtered hyperinterpolation

We first recall the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature rule. Let $\kappa_Q := \left\lceil \frac{\overline{K}(a)+K-1}{2} \right\rceil$, and $\{r_j\}_{j=0,\dots,\kappa_Q} \subset [r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$ be the zeros of a Jacobi polynomial $J_{\kappa_Q+1} = J^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{\kappa_Q+1}$. Then, there exists the corresponding positive weight $\{w^{\mathrm{rad}}_j\}_{j=0,\dots,\kappa_Q}$ that defines a quadrature rule $Q_{\mathrm{rad}}(2\kappa_Q+1)$ with the precision $2\kappa_Q+1 (\geq \overline{K}(a)+K)$. That is, for $f \in C([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$ let $Q_{\mathrm{rad}}(2\kappa_Q+1)f := \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_Q} f(r_j) w^{\mathrm{rad}}_j$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathrm{rad}}(2\kappa_Q+1)q &= \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} q(r) w^* \left(\frac{2r - (r_{\mathrm{out}} + r_{\mathrm{in}})}{r_{\mathrm{out}} - r_{\mathrm{in}}} \right) \frac{2}{r_{\mathrm{out}} - r_{\mathrm{in}}} \, \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} q(r) \mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(r), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for any $q \in \mathbb{P}_{2\kappa_Q+1}([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$, in particular, for any $q \in \mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}(a)+K}([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$.

In the following, for a function $f\colon \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ we often write $f(r\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ $(r\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ as $f(r, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$. For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the notation

$$\langle f(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), g(\cdot, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \rangle^{\mathrm{rad}}_{Q_{\mathrm{rad}}(2\kappa_Q+1)} := \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_Q} w^{\mathrm{rad}}_j f(r_j, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) g(r_j, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \quad f, g \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon), \tag{3.8}$$

where a quadrature rule $Q_{\mathrm{rad}}(2\kappa_Q + 1)$ is used in the right hand side.

We defined the filtered approximation $\mathcal{R}_K$ as (3.5) with the calligraphic character. Here, we define the discretised filtered approximation operator $R_K\colon C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon) \to C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ for the radial direction, a quadrature-based approximation of $\mathcal{R}_K$. For a function f in $C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$, we define the operator R_K $(K \geq 1)$ as

$$R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\overline{K}(a)} h^{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) \left\langle f(\cdot,\boldsymbol{\sigma}), \frac{J_k}{\gamma_k}\right\rangle_{Q_{\mathrm{rad}}(2\kappa_Q+1)}^{\mathrm{rad}} \frac{J_k(r)}{\gamma_k}. \tag{3.9}$$

Following [22], we call $R_K f$ *filtered hyperinterpolation* of f in the radial direction. We now develop a bound of R_K on the interval $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$ in terms of the supremum norm over $[r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$. Later, we use the result to analyse the error of filtered hyperinterpolation on spherical shells.

3.2 Supremum norm bound of $R_K f$

In this section, we obtain a bound of $R_K f$ in terms of the supremum norm. We have the following bound.

Proposition 3.3. *Let the parameters (α,β) for the Jacobi polynomial satisfy $\alpha,\beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, and let $\iota \geq \alpha+\beta+1$ be an integer. Suppose that the filter function $h^{\mathrm{rad}}\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies $h^{\mathrm{rad}}(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0,1]$, $\mathrm{supp}(h^{\mathrm{rad}}) \subset [0,a]$ for some $a \in (1,2]$. Further, suppose that h^{rad} and its derivatives of all orders up to $\iota-1$ are absolutely continuous, and the ι-th derivative is of bounded variation. For $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$, let $R_K f$ ($K \geq 1$) be defined by (3.9) with $\overline{K}(a) = \max\{\lceil aK\rceil - 1, K\}$ ($a \in (1,2]$). Then, for each $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S^2$ we have*

$$\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \leq C_1 \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|, \tag{3.10}$$

where the constant C_1 is independent of K and f.

Proof. Fix $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in S^2$. Clearly, we have

$$|R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \leq \Big(\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\Big) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_Q} w_j^{\mathrm{rad}} |\mathcal{G}_K(r_j,r)|\right). \tag{3.11}$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}_K(\cdot,r)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \overline{K}(a)$. From a non-trivial result by Nevai which gives a bound on Gauss-Jacobi quadrature formulae in terms of the integral the quadrature approximates, (see, for example [16, p. 35, theorem 4.7.4]) we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_Q} w_j^{\mathrm{rad}} |\mathcal{G}_K(r_j,r)| \leq C\left(\frac{\overline{K}(a)}{\kappa_Q+1}+1\right) \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} |\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s), \tag{3.12}$$

where C depends only on the measure μ^{rad}.

Since $\frac{\overline{K}(a)+K-1}{2} \leq \kappa_Q$, we have

$$\frac{\overline{K}(a)}{(\kappa_Q+1)} \leq \frac{2\overline{K}(a)}{K+\overline{K}(a)} \leq 2, \tag{3.13}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_Q} w_j^{\mathrm{rad}} |\mathcal{G}_K(r_j,r)| \leq 3C \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} |\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s). \tag{3.14}$$

This, together with (3.5) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \\
&\qquad \le 3C\bigg(\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\bigg) \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \int_{r_{\mathrm{in}}}^{r_{\mathrm{out}}} |\mathcal{G}_K(s,r)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\mathrm{rad}}(s) \qquad (3.15)\\
&\qquad = 3C\bigg(\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\bigg) \|\mathcal{R}_K\|_{C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])\to C([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])}. \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 3.2, we can conclude that

$$\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \le C_1 \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|, \qquad (3.17)$$

where the constant C_1 is independent of K and f. □

4 Filtered hyperinterpolation on sphere

We now introduce filtered hyperinterpolation in the angular direction. Let $\overline{L}(b) = \max\{\lceil bL\rceil - 1, L\}$ with $b \in (1,2]$. Let $Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)$ be a positive-weight (ν_Q+1)-point spherical numerical integration rule

$$Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)f(r) := \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_Q} w_j^{\mathrm{ang}} f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j), \quad f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon), \qquad (4.1)$$

with points $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0,\dots,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\nu_Q} \in S^2$ and corresponding weights $w_0^{\mathrm{ang}},\dots,w_{\nu_Q}^{\mathrm{ang}}$, which integrates all spherical polynomials of degree $\le \overline{L}(b)+L$ exactly. That is, we have

$$\int_{S^2} p\,\mathrm{d}S = Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)p, \quad p \in \mathbb{P}_{\overline{L}(b)+L}(S^2). \qquad (4.2)$$

Using this quadrature rule, let us define a bilinear map $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle^{\mathrm{ang}}_{Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)} : C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)\times C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon) \to C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ by

$$\langle f,g\rangle^{\mathrm{ang}}_{Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)}(r) := \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_Q} w_j^{\mathrm{ang}} f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j) g(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j), \quad f,g \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon). \qquad (4.3)$$

Similarly to the radial direction, we consider a filter function $h^{\mathrm{ang}}\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $h^{\mathrm{ang}}(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0,1]$ and $\mathrm{supp}(h^{\mathrm{ang}}) \subset [0,b]$ with a suitable smoothness. We define the filtered hyperinterpolation operator $A_L\colon C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon) \to C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ in the angular direction by

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_L f)(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) &:= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} h^{\mathrm{ang}}\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) \left\langle f(r,\cdot), Y_{\ell,m}(\cdot)\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ang}}_{Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)} Y_{\ell,m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \qquad (4.4)\\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\overline{L}(b)}\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} h^{\mathrm{ang}}\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right) \left\langle f(r,\cdot), Y_{\ell,m}(\cdot)\right\rangle^{\mathrm{ang}}_{Q_{\mathrm{ang}}(\overline{L}(b)+L)} Y_{\ell,m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}). \qquad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

4.1 Supremum norm estimate on the sphere

To obtain our error estimate on spherical shells, we need the following supremum norm error estimate for the hyperinterpolation in the angular direction.

Theorem 4.1 (supremum norm estimate on S^2, [26])**.** *Let $r \in [r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$. Suppose that the filter function $h^{\mathrm{ang}}\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies $h^{\mathrm{ang}}(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0,1]$, $\mathrm{supp}(h^{\mathrm{ang}}) \subset [0,b]$ for some $b \in (1,2]$. Further, suppose that h^{ang} is absolutely continuous and its derivative is of bounded variation. Then, for $f(r,\cdot) \in C(S^2)$ and $L \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \leq C_2 \inf_{p\in\mathbb{P}_L(S^2)} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - p(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|. \tag{4.6}$$

where the constant C_2 is independent of f and L.

Proof. From [26, Proof of Theorem 1.1], for any $g \in C(S^2)$ we have

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |A_L g(\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \leq C \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |g(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|,$$

with a constant $C > 0$ independent of g and L. Now, observe $A_L p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = p(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ for any $p \in \mathbb{P}_L(S^2)$. Then, the statement follows using the standard technique

$$|f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \leq |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - p(\boldsymbol{\sigma})| + |A_L(p(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}))|,$$

for arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{P}_L(S^2)$. □

5 Filtered hyperinterpolation on spherical shells

We finally define the filtered hyperinterpolation operator on $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$, and give an error estimate in terms of the supremum norm over $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$.

First, note that for $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$, we have $A_L R_K f = R_K A_L f$. We define the operator V_{KL} on $C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&(V_{KL}f)(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\\ &= \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} h\left(\frac{k}{K}, \frac{\ell}{L}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_Q} \sum_{n=0}^{\nu_Q} W_{jn} f(r_j, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) \frac{J_k(r_j)}{\gamma_k^2} Y_{\ell,m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n) \right) J_k(r) Y_{\ell,m}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}),\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $h\left(\frac{m}{M}, \frac{\ell}{L}\right) = h^{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right) h^{\mathrm{ang}}\left(\frac{\ell}{L}\right)$, $W_{jn} = w_j^{\mathrm{rad}} w_n^{\mathrm{ang}}$, $\kappa_Q = \left\lceil \frac{\overline{K}(a)+K-1}{2} \right\rceil$ so that the radial quadrature has the precision $\overline{K}(a) + K$, and ν_Q is taken so that the angular quadrature has the precision $L + \overline{L}(b)$.

We estimate the error by following decomposition. For an arbitrary norm $\|\cdot\|$, from $V_{KL} = R_K A_L$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f - V_{KL} f\| &= \|f - R_K A_L f + R_K f - R_K f\| \\ &\leq \|f - R_K f\| + \|R_K(f - A_L f)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

We derive estimates for both term $\|f - R_K f\|$ and $\|R_K(f - A_L f)\|$, with $\|\cdot\|$ being the supremum norm.

5.1 Best approximation by polynomials

We record classical results of estimates on best approximation by polynomials. Later, we reduce the error of the filtered hyperinterpolation approximation to the best approximation error.

On the interval, we have the following well-known results (see for example, [19, pp. 196–197, p. 26]).

Theorem 5.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_{K,\infty}^{\mathrm{rad}}(f) = \inf_{p\in\mathbb{P}_K([r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}])} \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r)-p(r)|.$$

Then, for $f \in C^{\eta}([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$ with $\eta \in \{1,\dots,K\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{K,\infty}^{\mathrm{rad}}(f) \le C_3^{\eta}\frac{(K-\eta)!}{K!}\left(\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f^{(\eta)}(r)|\right), \tag{5.3}$$

where the constant C_3 is independent of f and K.

On the sphere, we have the following classical result by Pawelke [17]. Note that we could also use the resent result by Dai and Xu [3, corollary 3.7], see also [4, corollary 4.5.6.].

Theorem 5.2 (Pawelke [17])**.** *Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_{L,\infty}^{\mathrm{ang}}(f) = \inf_{p\in\mathbb{P}_L(S^2)} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})-p(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|.$$

Then, for each $f \in C^{2t}(S^2)$, $(t = 1, 2, \dots)$, there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ independent of f and L, such that

$$\mathcal{E}_{L,\infty}^{\mathrm{ang}}(f) \le C_4^t L^{-2t}\left(\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |\Delta_S^t f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})|\right) \tag{5.4}$$

holds, where Δ_S is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on S^2.

5.2 Error estimate

We have the following estimate.

Theorem 5.3. *For $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$, let $V_{KL}f$ be defined by* (5.1)*. Then, under the same assumptions as Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} &|f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - V_{KL}f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \\ &\le (1+C_1)\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2}\mathcal{E}_{K,\infty}^{\mathrm{rad}}(f(\cdot,\boldsymbol{\sigma})) + C_1(1+C_5)\sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]}\mathcal{E}_{L,\infty}^{\mathrm{ang}}(f(r,\cdot)),\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

for $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$, where the constants C_1, $C_5 > 0$ are independent of K, L, and f.

Proof. We first obtain a bound for the first term of (5.2) with the supremum norm over $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$. For an arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{P}_K([r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}])$, we have

$$\sup_{(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \le \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} (|(f-p)| + |R_K(f-p)|). \tag{5.6}$$

From (3.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} &(|(f-p)| + |R_K(f-p)|) \\ &\le (1+C_1) \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - p(r)|.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sup_{(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - R_K f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \le (1+C_1) \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{rad}}_{K,\infty}(f(\cdot,\boldsymbol{\sigma})). \tag{5.8}$$

On the other hand, again from (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} &\left|R_K\big(f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\big)\right| \\ &\le C_1 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| &(5.9)\\ &= C_1 \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|. &(5.10)\end{aligned}$$

Sloan and Womersley [22] showed that there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ independent of L and f such that $\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \le C_5 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})|$, for each $r \in [r_{\mathrm{in}}, r_{\mathrm{out}}]$. It follows that

$$C_1 \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \le C_1(1+C_5) \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ang}}_{L,\infty}(f(r,\cdot)). \tag{5.11}$$

Thus, the left hand side of (5.9) can be bounded as

$$\sup_{(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} \left|R_K\big(f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - A_L f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\big)\right| \le C_1(1+C_5) \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ang}}_{L,\infty}(f(r,\cdot)). \tag{5.12}$$

From (5.2), (5.8), and (5.12) the result (5.5) follows.

□

Together with Theorem 5.1 and 5.2, we have the following corollary of the previous theorem.

Corollary 5.4. *For $f \in C(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon)$, let $V_{KL}f$ be defined by* (5.1)*. Suppose the same assumptions as Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 hold. Further, suppose that f is η-times continuously partially differentiable with respect to r ($\eta \in \{1,\dots,K\}$) and satisfies*

$$\|f^{(\eta,0)}\|_{\infty,\infty} := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \left|\frac{\partial^\eta}{\partial r^\eta} f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right| < \infty.$$

Suppose furthermore that $f(r,\cdot) \in C^{2t}(S^2)$ ($t \in \{1,2,3,\dots\}$) for each r and satisfies

$$\|f^{(0,2t)}\|_{\infty,\infty} := \sup_{r\in[r_{\mathrm{in}},r_{\mathrm{out}}]} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in S^2} |\Delta_S^t f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| < \infty.$$

Then, we have

$$\sup_{(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} |f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - V_{KL}f(r,\boldsymbol{\sigma})| \\ \leq (1+C_1)C_3^\eta \frac{(K-\eta)!}{K!}\|f^{(\eta,0)}\|_{\infty,\infty} + C_1(1+C_5)\, C_4^t L^{-2t}\|f^{(0,2t)}\|_{\infty,\infty}. \tag{5.13}$$

6 Numerical results

In this section, we provide numerical results. Let $[r_{\text{in}}, r_{\text{out}}] = [1, 1.001]$ so that $\varepsilon = 0.001$. We let $a = b = 2$ so that $\overline{K}(2) = 2K - 1$ $(K \geq 1)$, and $\overline{L}(2) = 2L - 1$ $(L \geq 1)$. For the Jacobi polynomials we use Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, that is, $(\alpha, \beta) = (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$. For the radial direction, we use Chebyshev-Gauss quadrature with $\lceil \frac{3K-1}{2} \rceil + 1$ points $x_0, \ldots, x_{\lceil \frac{3K-1}{2} \rceil}$ mapped to $[r_{\text{in}}, r_{\text{out}}]$, and weights $w_0^x, \ldots, w^x_{\lceil \frac{3K-1}{2} \rceil}$. For the angular direction, we use the *Spherical t-designs with* $N = t^2/2 + t + O(1)$ *points* given by Womersley [27]. As for the filter, we use the following C^∞ exponential filter proposed in [6] for both directions:

$$h^{\text{rad}}(x), h^{\text{ang}}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in [0,1] \\ \exp\left(\frac{-2\exp\left(\frac{2}{1-x}\right)}{2-x}\right) & \text{for } x \in (1,2) \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [2,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Let $r \in [1, 1.001]$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$. We first approximate $f_1(r,\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{0.0005^{\frac{17}{2}}}|r - 1.0005|^{\frac{17}{2}} \cos^4\theta$, and $f_2(r,\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{0.001^2}(r-1)^2|\cos\theta|^{\frac{17}{2}}$. The function f_1 is smooth in the angular but less so in the radial direction; f_2 is smooth in the radial but less so in the angular direction.



Fig. 1. *Approximand is* $f_1(r,\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{0.0005^{\frac{17}{2}}}|r-1.0005|^{\frac{17}{2}}\cos^4\theta$. *We fix* $L=4$ *and change* K. *We observe the algebraic decay of the error with respect to* K.

To approximate the function f_1, we fix $L = 4$ and vary the value of K. We observe the algebraic decay of the maximum absolute error with respect to K. See Fig. 1. The function f_1 is a $C^8([r_{\text{in}}, r_{\text{out}}])$-function for a fixed angular variable, and from Corollary 5.4 we expect that the logarithm of the error decays no slower than $-8\log_{10} K$. The experiment shows roughly $\log_{10}(\text{error}) \approx -10\log_{10} K$. This seems to show our result might not be sharp, but at least it is consistent with the experiment.

Next, we approximate the function f_2. We fix $K = 2$, so that the radial part can be approximated exactly, and vary the value of L. See Fig. 2. We observe the algebraic decay of the error with respect to K. Since f_2 is a $C^8(S^2)$ function for a fixed radial variable, from Corollary 5.4 we expect the logarithm of the error decays no slower than $-8\log_{10} L$. The experiment show that roughly $\log_{10}(\text{error}) \approx -11\log_{10} L$, which is consistent with Corollary 5.4.



Fig. 2. *Approximand is* $f_2(r,\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{0.001^2}(r-1)^2|\cos\theta|^{\frac{17}{2}}$. *We fix* $K = 2$ *and change* L. *We observe algebraic decay of the maximum absolute error with respect to* L.

Next, we consider a non-smooth function which goes beyond the theory. It is constructed as a sum of following two functions. First, the Franke function f_{Franke} is a C^∞-function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{Franke}}(\boldsymbol{x}) =& 0.75\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}((9x_1-2)^2+(9x_2-2)^2+(9x_3-2)^2)\right) \\ &+ 0.75\exp\left(-\frac{1}{49}((9x_1+1)^2)-\frac{1}{10}(9x_2+1+9x_3+1)\right) \\ &+ 0.5\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}((9x_1-7)^2+(9x_2-3)^2+(9x_3-5)^2)\right) \\ &- 0.2\exp\left(-(9x_1-4)^2-(9x_2-7)^2-(9x_3-5)^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

for $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$. Further, we define the cone function f_{cone} as follows

$$f_{\text{cone}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \begin{cases} 1000\big|\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 - 1.0005\big|\Big(1-2\arccos\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{c}}\right)\Big) & \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{c}}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{c}}) := \{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \mid \arccos(\boldsymbol{x}/\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{c}}))\le\frac{1}{2}\}$, and $\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{c}} := (-1/2,-1/2,1/\sqrt{2})\in S^2$. We approximate

$$f_3(\boldsymbol{x}) := f_{\text{Franke}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + f_{\text{cone}}(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{6.4}$$

Note that f_3 is not differentiable in the angular direction along the boundary of the spherical cap $\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{c}})$ nor at the centre of the cap, and in the radial direction at the midpoint of the interval $[1, 1.001]$.



Fig. 3. *Top: function* $f_3(1, \theta, \varphi)$. *Left: hyperinterpolation error. Right: filtered hyperinterpolation error.* $K = L = 20$ *is used.*

We let $K = 20$ and $L = 20$ and observe the behaviour of the error. For a comparison, we provide the error plot using the discretised polynomial approximation on spherical shells proposed in [12]. Specifically, for the comparison we employ interpolation at the Chebyshev zeros in the radial direction, and hyperinterpolation on the sphere in the angular direction. The error using this method will be simply referred to as hyperinterpolation error. We plot the exact functions at the top, the hyperinterpolation errors at the bottom left, the filtered hyperinterpolation error at the bottom right. Fig. 3–5 shows the error on the spherical layers $(r = 1, 1.0005, 1.001)$, and Fig. 6 shows the error for the radial line $(-1/2r, -1/2r, 1/\sqrt{2}r)$ $(r \in [1, 1.001])$. We observe that the filtered hyperinterpolation errors are smaller, and more localised.

(a)





(b) **(c)**

Fig. 4. *Top: function* $f_3(1.0005, \theta, \varphi)$. *Left: hyperinterpolation error. Right: filtered hyperinterpolation error.* $K = L = 20$ *is used.*



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 5. *Top: function* $f_3(1.001, \theta, \varphi)$. *Left: hyperinterpolation error. Right: filtered hyperinterpolation error.* $K = L = 20$ *is used.*



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 6. *Top: function* $f_3(r, \theta_c, \varphi_c)$, *where* θ_c *and* φ_c *are taken so that* $(\sin\theta_c \cos\varphi_c, \sin\theta_c \sin\varphi_c, \cos\theta_c) = (-0.5, -0.5, 1/\sqrt{2})$ *Left: hyperinterpolation error. Right: filtered hyperinterpolation error.*

7 Conclusion

We proposed a fully discrete filtered polynomial approximation on spherical shells. Our method is based on the filtered hyperinterpolation in the radial and angular direction. We provided an error analysis in terms of the supremum norm by reducing the approximation error to the best polynomial approximations. Numerical results are consistent with the theory.

Acknowledgement

I thank Ian H. Sloan and Q. T. Le Gia for helpful discussions.

References

[1] W. Bangerth, J. Dannberg, R. Gassmöller and T. Heister. Computational modeling of convection in the Earth's mantle. *SIAM News* 49 (2016), pp. 1–3 (page 2).

[2] C. Canuto, M. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni and T. Zang. *Spectral Methods Fundamentals in Single Domains*. Springer, 2006 (page 1).

[3] F. Dai and Y. Xu. Polynomial approximation in Sobolev spaces on the unit sphere and the unit ball. *J. Approx. Theory* 163 (2011), pp. 1400–1418 (page 10).

[4] F. Dai and Y. Xu. *Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Spheres and Balls*. Springer, 2013 (page 10).

[5] G. F. Davies. *Dynamic Earth: Plates, Plumes and Mantle Convection*. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, 2000 (page 2).

[6] F. Filbir, H. Mhaskar and J. Prestin. On a filter for exponentially localized kernels based on Jacobi polynomials. *J. Approx. Theory* 160 (2009), pp. 256–280 (page 12).

[7] N. Flyer, G. B. Wright and B. Fornberg. 'Radial basis function-generated finite differences: a mesh-free method for computational geosciences'. *Handbook of Geomathematics*. Springer, 2013, pp. 1–30 (page 1).

[8] B. Fornberg and N. Flyer. Solving PDEs with radial basis functions. *Acta Numer.* 24 (2015), pp. 215–258 (page 1).

[9] Geophysics Study Committee, Geophysics Research Forum, Commission on Physical Sciences, Mathematics and Resources and Commission on Physical Sciences, Mathematics, and Applications and Division on Engineering and Physical Sciences and National Research Council. *The Earth's Electrical Environment*. 1st ed. National Academies Press, 1986 (page 2).

[10] I. Jackson, ed. *The Earth's Mantle: Composition, Structure, and Evolution*. Cambridge University Press, 2000 (page 2).

[11] J. P. Kahane and Y. Katznelson. Sur les ensembles de divergence des séries trigonométriques. *Studia Math.* 26 (1966), pp. 305–306 (page 1).

[12] Y. Kazashi. A fully discretised polynomial approximation on spherical shells. *GEM Int. J. Geomath.* 7 (2016), pp. 299–323 (pages 1, 15).

[13] J. C. McWilliams. Modeling the oceanic general circulation. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 28 (1996), pp. 215–248 (page 1).

[14] H. N. Mhaskar. Polynomial operators and local smoothness classes on the unit interval. *J. Approx. Theory* 131 (2004), pp. 243–267 (pages 5, 6).

[15] H. N. Mhaskar and J. Prestin. 'Polynomial frames: a fast tour'. Mod. Methods Math. Nashboro Press, 2005, pp. 287–318 (page 1).

[16] P. Nevai. Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions. A case study. *J. Approx. Theory* 48 (1986), pp. 3–167 (page 7).

[17] S. Pawelke. Über die Approximationsordnung bei Kugelfunktionen und algebraischen Polynomen. *Tohoku Math. J.* 24 (1972), pp. 473–486 (page 10).

[18] P. Petrushev and Y. Xu. Localized polynomial frames on the interval with Jacobi weights. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 11 (2005), pp. 557–575 (page 1).

[19] M. J. D. Powell. *Approximation Theory and Methods*. Cambridge University Press, 1981 (page 10).

[20] A. Sakuraba and M. Kono. Effect of the inner core on the numerical solution of the magnetohydrodynamic dynamo. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 111 (1999), pp. 105–121 (page 1).

[21] I. H. Sloan. Polynomial approximation on spheres — generalizing de la Vallée-Poussin. *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* 11 (2011), pp. 540–552 (page 1).

[22] I. H. Sloan and R. S. Womersley. Filtered hyperinterpolation: a constructive polynomial approximation on the sphere. *GEM Int. J. Geomath.* 3 (2012), pp. 95–117 (pages 1, 2, 7, 11).

[23] G. Szegő. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. 4th ed. American Mathematical Society, 1975 (page 4).

[24] P. J. Tackley. Modelling compressible mantle convection with large viscosity contrasts in a three-dimensional spherical shell using the yin-yang grid. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 171 (2008), pp. 7–18 (page 1).

[25] N. I. Vilenkin. *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations*. American Mathematical Society, 1978 (page 4).

[26] H. Wang and I. H. Sloan. On filtered polynomial approximation on the sphere. *J. Fourier. Anal. Appl.* (2016). doi: 10.1007/s00041-016-9493-7 (page 9).

[27] R. S. Womersley. *Efficient spherical designs with good geometric properties*. 2016. URL: http://web.maths.unsw.edu.au/~rsw/Sphere/EffSphDes/index.html (visited on 23/01/2017) (page 12).